



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,468	12/06/2004	Tatsuaki Suzuki	KUZ-0021	1362
7590 Licata & Tyrrell 66 East Main Street Marlton, NJ 08053				
EXAMINER				
PALENIK, JEFFREY T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1615				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/04/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/517,468		Applicant(s) SUZUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeffrey T. Palenik		Art Unit 1615	
	<p>All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):</p> <p>(1) <u>Jeffrey T. Palenik.</u> (3) <u>Kathy Tyrrell (Atty).</u></p> <p>(2) <u>Michael Woodward (SPE).</u> (4) ____.</p> <p>Date of Interview: <u>30 January 2009.</u></p> <p>Type: a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic b) <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference c) <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (copy given to: 1) <input type="checkbox"/> applicant 2) <input type="checkbox"/> applicant's representative</p> <p>Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes e) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. If Yes, brief description: ____.</p> <p>Claim(s) discussed: <u>of record and new claims 13-15.</u></p> <p>Identification of prior art discussed: <u>of record.</u></p> <p>Agreement with respect to the claims f) <input type="checkbox"/> was reached. g) <input type="checkbox"/> was not reached. h) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A.</p> <p>Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Proposed amendments and newly drafted claims were discussed with regards to their ability to get around the present rejection, particularly Chono in view of Wick regarding the abrasiveness limitations in new claim 13. The Abstract was also discussed and determined to be fine, but needed to be submitted on a separate sheet. Applicants' proposed amendments are attached.</u></p> <p>(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)</p> <p>THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</p>			
		/MP WOODWARD/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615		